

Evaluation Rapport SOSGEO2920 2026

Bjørn Schiermer Andersen

8 respondents

The low response rate should be kept in mind when interpreting the results. For instance, since the course originally had 20 signed-up students, the fact that all who did the evaluation was present to “most” of the lectures says little.

The overall assessment of the course is strongly positive among the respondents. They all rate their “overall satisfaction with the course” and their “outcome or learning” in the neutral to positive. This signals satisfaction with course among the students.

Negative aspects: the rapport shows that a third of the respondents had not yet read the course texts at the time of evaluation. This is too few. However, this is not that surprising after all given that the course has focused strongly on actual deployment of technology and software. Nevertheless, the fact that all the students place the difficulty of learning how to use the tools/software as “middle” or “easy”, suggests that too much in-class time is spent here. And this time could probably be spent for addressing more substantial matters in the sociology of the digital. Importantly, this would then leave more space to embed the course in a sociological perspective and to root the students in the problems of digital sociology, the digital sphere and digital media that manifestly interest the students. Practice with the tools could then be partly outsourced through the students through use of instruction videos.

This should be done through group work. This would then solve another problem. Though there is no strong agreement among the respondents themselves about what worked less well, it seems many students would have preferred more actual work with the tools and less one-way explanation by the author. More obligatory group exercises with videos before class would ensure that the students prepare better and thus make them more able to work more productively and autonomously with the software while in class.

Overall conclusion

It is indeed positive that *all* the respondents rate both their “overall satisfaction with the course” and their “outcome or learning” in the neutral to positive. 25% of the students are “very satisfied” (the top category) with the course and 37% “satisfied” with what they have learned. These are good absolute numbers in the sense that they at least suggest that *if* you engage yourself (and come to class), you will profit from the course and find it interesting.



Report

Evaluation of SOSGE02920 2026

Contents

A. To what extent have you read the course texts?	3
B. What do you think about the curriculum of the course?	3
A. How many of the classes have you attended?	4
B. How easy or difficult do you think it was to learn to use the tools/programs promoted on the course relative to the instructions given?	4
C. Explain	4
D. What is your view on how the classes have been organized (interaction teacher / student participation)?	5
A. All in all - how did you like the course?	5
B. What was good?	5
C. What worked less well?	6
A. How satisfied are you with the usability of the course? Do you think you learned something useable?	6
5. Do you have any other feedback?	6

1. Syllabus

👍 A. To what extent have you read the course texts?

Number of submissions: 8

Submissions	Count	% of submissions	Chart
1. To a great extent	1	12.5%	12.5%
2. For the most part	0	0%	0%
3. Passably	3	37.5%	37.5%
4. Not very much	1	12.5%	12.5%
5. Not at all	3	37.5%	37.5%
6. No response	0	0%	0%

📖 B. What do you think about the curriculum of the course?

Number of submissions: 4

Submissions
I have not read the curriculum, i have just looked at the slides and been to the lectures
Okay, but not that relevant
Really interesting!
Interesting

2. Lectures/seminars

👍 A. How many of the classes have you attended?

Number of submissions: 8

Submissions	Count	% of submissions	Chart
1. All	1	12.5%	12.5%
2. Most	7	87.5%	87.5%
3. About half	0	0%	0%
4. Fewer than half	0	0%	0%
5. Very few	0	0%	0%
6. No response	0	0%	0%

👍 B. How easy or difficult do you think it was to learn to use the tools/programs promoted on the course relative to the instructions given?

Number of submissions: 8

Submissions	Count	% of submissions	Chart
1. Very easy	0	0%	0%
2. Easy	5	62.5%	62.5%
3. Neither easy nor difficult	2	25%	25%
4. Difficult	1	12.5%	12.5%
5. Very difficult	0	0%	0%
6. No response	0	0%	0%

📄 C. Explain

Number of submissions: 6

Submissions
I like playing with these kinds of tools so i just try to gain an understanding on how the tools work. Also Bjørn does go through everything step by step and is able to answer how to fix something when it breaks.
Good explanations, but some difficulty with the programs.
I had a lot of problems to get the programs to work, and there was not much helpful info.
We were really supported by professor.
Its very simple tools, especially when you have attended class.
Bjørn had very good explanation videoes, but it was a little bit difficult to collect data through instagram, and to understand how gephi works on mac. but all in all, if you followed what Bjørn did would everything work out.

T

D. What is your view on how the classes have been organized (interaction teacher / student participation)?

Number of submissions: 8

Submissions
Satisfactory
good
Good. Could be more time for the students to work with the programs in class, like a seminar, to get help with the programmes.
Good
Great!
Good!
I think Bjørn did a good job in the lectures. It was both us doing work with his at the same time, or group work where he supervised and showed us how to do it at the end of each task. The fact that were also a pretty small class makes it easier for Bjørn for help everyone and make sure everyone is following
good student/teacher interaction, ensuring that everyone in class is on the same page before continuing!

3. Overall impression

✓

A. All in all - how did you like the course?

Number of submissions: 8

Submissions	Count	% of submissions	Chart
1. Very satisfied	2	25%	25%
2. Satisfied	3	37.5%	37.5%
3. Netrual	3	37.5%	37.5%
4. Unsatisfied	0	0%	0%
5. Very unsatisfied	0	0%	0%
6. No response	0	0%	0%

T

B. What was good?

Number of submissions: 6

Submissions
It's a good toolset to have availble
it was easy
The small class, got to know the group
Examples, discussion general.
Its interesting to work with a lot of data and analyse them
The course helped me understand and manadge the different data tools

T= C. What worked less well?

Number of submissions: 4

Submissions
There was alot of sitting around just absorbing the lecture, atleast in the start
All the digital stuff, i hav a lot of issues with the programs.
Everything works good.
The lessons might be a bit too long.

4. Outcome/Learning

☑ A. How satisfied are you with the usability of the course? Do you think you learned something useable?

Number of submissions: 8

Submissions	Count	% of submissions	Chart
1. Very satisfied	3	37.5%	37.5%
2. Satisfied	2	25%	25%
3. Neutral	3	37.5%	37.5%
4. Unsatisfied	0	0%	0%
5. Very unsatisfied	0	0%	0%
6. No response	0	0%	0%

T= 5. Do you have any other feedback?

Number of submissions: 3

Submissions
No
No.
found the couse very intereseting. But i didnt really understand how the pensum had anything to do with the course?